



AF
Z

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	09/766,730
Filing Date	January 22, 2001
First Named Inventor	Claudio L.K. Lins
Art Unit	1744
Examiner Name	Monzer R. Chorbaji
Attorney Docket Number	6289

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	<input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	<input type="checkbox"/> Post Card
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren P.C.		
Signature			
Printed name	Andrew J. Heinisch		
Date	April 5, 2007	Reg. No.	43666

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name	Tracey Johnson	Date	April 5, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



PATENT
Attorney Docket No. 6289

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Claudio L.K. Lins

Group Art Unit: 1744

Appn. No.: 09/766,730

Examiner: Monzer R. Chorbaji

Filed: January 22, 2001

For: ELECTROSTATIC
DISINFECTANT DELIVERY

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:


Applicant agrees with the Examiner's characterization in the Interview Summary dated March 26, 2007. Applicant and the Examiner only discussed the Appeal Brief rule, namely 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v) and whether that rule required that each dependent claim argued separately be summarized in the Appeal Brief. Applicant pointed out that only dependent claims which included means plus function and step plus function format needed to be summarized, not merely just any dependent claim argued separately on appeal. The Examiner sought advice from the Board who indicated that the Brief was compliant such that the brief did not need to be revised. In a follow-up, the Examiner therefore called the undersigned attorney to indicate that the Non-Compliant Appeal Brief Notice mailed on 3/9/2007 has been withdrawn. As such, the substance of the interview was merely procedural in nature.

It is submitted that to the extent Applicant is required to file a statement of the substance of the interview as may be suggested in the Interview Summary prepared by the

In re Application of: 09/766,730
Application No.: Claudio L.K. Lins

Examiner and mailed on 3/26/2007, it is submitted that this instant document satisfies this requirement. Applicant also thanks the Examiner for his attention to this procedural issue.

Respectfully submitted,



Andrew J. Heinisch, Registration No. 43666
One of the Attorneys for Applicant(s)
REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN P.C.
2215 Perrygreen Way
Rockford, Illinois 61107
Telephone: (815) 633-5300
Facsimile: (815) 654-5770

Date: April 5, 2007